



Open Access

Commenting on the effects of surface treated- and non-surface treated TiO₂ in the Caco-2 cell model

James J Faust¹, Wen Zhang², Brian A Koeneman¹, Yongsheng Chen³ and David G Capco^{1*}

Abstract

In a recent work published in *Particle and Fibre Toxicology* by Fisichella and coworkers investigating surface-modified TiO₂ nanoparticle exposure in a model human intestinal epithelium (Caco-2), albeit degraded to mimic conditions in the gut and exposure to natural sunlight, purportedly resulted in no toxic effects. The authors (Fisichella et al.) claim to have confirmed the results of a 2010 report by Koeneman et al. However, the study by Koeneman and colleagues revealed significant effects of unmodified TiO₂ nanoparticles. These contradicting data warrant further investigation into the possible effects of aluminum hydroxide, as these nanoparticles appear to have resulted in an abnormal apical surface in Caco-2 cells.

This is a comment on http://www.particleandfibretoxicology.com/content/pdf/1743-8977-9-18.pdf.

Keywords: Nanoparticles, Titanium dioxide, Microvilli, Brush-border, Caco-2, Transmission electron microscopy, Scanning electron microscopy

Correspondence

In their recent study, Fisichella et al. claim that surfacetreated titanium dioxide (TiO_2) nanoparticles (NPs) do not harm an epithelium composed of Caco-2 cells (an *in vitro* model of human intestinal enterocytes), and claim that these NPs are unlikely to enter the body via the oral route [1]. These authors cite our own work [2] and claim to have confirmed our previous study. The study by Koeneman and coworkers showed that application of a mixture of TiO₂ NPs composed of rutile and anatase elicited significant effects on the cellular epithelium, and further these TiO₂ NPs were transported across the Caco-2 epithelium.

Many investigators including ourselves have shown that the apical brush-border of these cells exhibit a well-ordered array of microvilli (MV) [2,3]. The scanning electron micrographs of the epithelium shown by Fisichella and colleagues [1] as their Figure 5 B does not have the appearance of being in good health as these MV are greatly distorted and may even be in the



The Fisichella et al. study employed surface-treated TiO_2 NPs modified by aging the surface coating (PDMS) to; 1) mimic conditions in the gut, and 2) to emulate the effects of natural UV exposure. After these separate aging processes the authors claim that an aluminum



© 2012 Faust et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: dcapco@asu.edu

¹School of Life Sciences, Cellular and Molecular Biosciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287-4501, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

hydroxide shell surrounding a TiO₂ core remains intact. The results of Fisichella et al. [1] suggest that the aluminum hydroxide coating affected the MV as there are marked surface defects depicted in their figures ([1], Figure 5 and Figure 6). In addition, others have shown that chlorine at concentrations comparable to municipal water supplies degrades the protective aluminum hydroxide coating [5]. This is relevant because the authors [1] intended to investigate TiO₂ NPs that are employed as components of sunscreen that are likely to be used as skin protectants while swimming. Although these conflicting data may be a result of the nanomaterials employed, they underscore the need to further examine any potential deleterious effects of TiO₂ NPs in the Caco-2 cell model.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹School of Life Sciences, Cellular and Molecular Biosciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287-4501, USA. ²Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark, NJ 07102, USA. ³School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA.

Received: 5 September 2012 Accepted: 5 November 2012 Published: 12 November 2012

References

- Fisichella M, Bérenguer F, Steinmetz G, Auffan M, Rose J, Prat O: Intestinal toxicity evaluation of TiO2 degraded surface-treated nanoparticles: a combined physico-chemical and toxicogenomics approach in caco-2 cells. Part Fibre Toxicol 2012, 9:18.
- Koeneman BA, Zhang Y, Westerhoff P, Chen Y, Crittenden JC, Capco DG: Toxicity and cellular responses of intestinal cells exposed to titanium dioxide. *Cell Biol Toxicol* 2010, 26:225–238.
- Peterson MD, Mooseker MS: Characterization of the enterocyte-like brush border cytoskeleton of the C2BBe clones of the human intestinal cell line, Caco-2. J Cell Sci 1992, 102(Pt 3):581–600.
- Peterson MD, Mooseker MS: An *in vitro* model for the analysis of intestinal brush border assembly. I. Ultrastructural analysis of cell contact-induced brush border assembly in Caco-2BBe cells. *J Cell Sci* 1993, 105:445–460.
- Virkutyte J, Al-Abed SR, Dionysiou DD: Depletion of the protective aluminum hydroxide coating in TiO₂-based sunscreens by swimming pool water ingredients. *Chem Eng J* 2012, 191:95–103.

doi:10.1186/1743-8977-9-42

Cite this article as: Faust *et al.*: Commenting on the effects of surface treated- and non-surface treated TiO_2 in the Caco-2 cell model. *Particle and Fibre Toxicology* 2012 **9**:42.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit