

ERRATUM

Open Access



Erratum to: Silica coating influences the corona and biokinetics of cerium oxide nanoparticles

Nagarjun V. Konduru¹, Renato J. Jimenez¹, Archana Swami¹, Sherri Friend², Vincent Castranova³, Philip Demokritou¹, Joseph D. Brain¹ and Ramon M. Molina^{1*}

After the publication of this work [1] it was noticed that the values in Tables 4 and 5 were incorrect. The numbers should have been all multiplied by 10.

Please see below corrected tables 4 and 5.

Author details

¹Molecular and Integrative Physiological Sciences Program, Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 665 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA. ²National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Morgantown, WV, USA. ³Department of Basic Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy, West Virginia University, P.O. Box 9530, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA.

Received: 16 June 2016 Accepted: 16 June 2016

Published online: 22 June 2016

Reference

1. Konduru NV, Jimenez RJ, Swami A, Friend S, Castranova V, Demokritou P, et al. Silica coating influences the corona and biokinetics of cerium oxide nanoparticles. *Part Fibre Toxicol.* 2015;12:31.

* Correspondence: rmolina@hsph.harvard.edu

¹Molecular and Integrative Physiological Sciences Program, Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 665 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA

²National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Morgantown, WV, USA

³Department of Basic Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy, West Virginia University, P.O. Box 9530, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit



Table 4 Cerium concentration in different tissues at 2 hours after intravenous injection of uncoated or silica-coated CeO₂ NPs

	CeO ₂ ng/g ± SE	Silica-coated CeO ₂ ng/g ± SE
Lungs	143.7 ± 17.9	546.2 ± 49.3*
Liver	19517.9 ± 444.9	16636.8 ± 676.7*
Bone	33.8 ± 4.4	64.2 ± 11.4*
Cecum	6.2 ± 4.3	2.1 ± 0.3
Large intestine	3.6 ± 0.9	2.8 ± 0.5
Bone marrow	17.3 ± 3.4	467 ± 7.0*
Spleen	16807.1 ± 3579.1	12043.8 ± 1717.6
Stomach	37.7 ± 16.7	47.7 ± 23.8
Kidneys	30.9 ± 14.4	52.7 ± 2.9
Small intestine	13.7 ± 2.0	11.2 ± 1.4
Heart	7.7 ± 1.5	14.1 ± 2.6
Testes	0.9 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.1*
Skeletal muscle	1.6 ± 0.5	2.3 ± 0.1
Brain	0.4 ± 0.0	1.1 ± 0.2*
Skin	2.0 ± 0.3	6.8 ± 0.4*
Plasma	1.4 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.3
RBC	26.6 ± 5.1	20.7 ± 2.3

Data are mean ± SE ng/g cerium concentration, *n* = 5/group

Ce concentration was estimated (ng/μCi_{NPs} × μCi/g_{tissue})

**P* < 0.05, CeO₂ vs. silica-coated CeO₂

Table 5 Cerium concentration in different tissues at 2 days after intravenous injection of uncoated or silica-coated CeO₂ NPs

	CeO ₂ ng/g ± SE	Silica-coated CeO ₂ ng/g ± SE
Lungs	56.5 ± 15.3	231.5 ± 28.3*
Liver	19044.3 ± 932.2	16525.7 ± 380.7*
Bone	27.2 ± 6.4	109.5 ± 16.1*
Cecum	1.5 ± 0.2	9.5 ± 2.6*
Large intestine	2.1 ± 0.3	10.7 ± 2.9*
Bone marrow	14.2 ± 2.8	66.4 ± 12.3*
Spleen	10960.2 ± 3334.9	18223.3 ± 1818.3
Stomach	20.1 ± 8.9	32.9 ± 12.5
Kidneys	13.2 ± 1.8	63.2 ± 5.8*
Small intestine	14.7 ± 1.4	6.3 ± 1.2*
Heart	7.5 ± 2.6	10.5 ± 1.2
Testes	0.2 ± 0.1	2.0 ± 0.2*
Skeletal muscle	0.5 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.2*
Brain	0.2 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.2*
Skin	1.9 ± 0.3	5.2 ± 0.8*
Plasma	0.2 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.2*
RBC	3.0 ± 1.0	6.9 ± 0.3*

Data are mean ± SE ng/g cerium concentration, *n* = 5/group

Ce concentration was estimated (ng/μCi_{NPs} × μCi/g_{tissue})

**P* < 0.05, CeO₂ vs. silica-coated CeO₂